

## CORONASTEP Report 18 SARS-CoV-2 Sewage Surveillance in Luxembourg

### Summary

The present report 18 incorporates the results about the contamination of wastewater at the inlet of the wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) during week 44. During this week, the major WWTPs have been sampled twice in order to a finer picture of the virus dynamics in the wastewater.

Over the week, the total SARS-CoV-2 RNA flux of at the inlet of the WWTP showed variations between 2 to 4 × 10<sup>12</sup> SARS-CoV-2 RNA copies per day and per 100,000 inhabitants (Figures 1a and 1b).

Before the beginning and the end of week 44, the fluxes of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the wastewater treatment plants have:

- decreased in some WWTPs (Beggen, Schifflange, Pétange, Bettembourg, Mersch, Troisvierges, Boevange-sur-Attert)
- increasing in others (Bleesbruck, Hespérange, Übersyren, Grevenmacher, Echternach, Wiltz)

but remained in every WWTPs at high values indicating a still very high prevalence of the virus in the wastewater.

Table 1 – National level of SARS-CoV-2 contamination of wastewaters in Luxembourg.

 Dark green: negative samples for SARS-CoV-2 gene E (-), Green to red: positive samples for SARS-CoV-2 gene E. The intensity of the color is related to the national SARS-CoV-2 flux (RNA copies / day / 100 000 equivalent inhabitants).

Week	Week 26	Week 27	Week 28	Week 29	Week 30	Week 31	Week 32	Week 33	Week 34	Week 35	Week 36	Week 37	Week 38	Week 39	Week 40	Week 41	Week 42	Week 43	Week 44/1	Week 44/2
National Contamination Level	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Orange	Red	Orange

Figure 1a – RT-qPCR quantification time-course monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 (E gene) in Luxembourgish wastewater samples from December 2019 to October 2020. Grey squares: daily-confirmed cases for Luxembourgish residents (<https://data.public.lu/fr/datasets/donnees-covid19/>), dots: cumulative SARS-CoV-2 flux (RNA copies / day / 100 000 equivalent inhabitants).




Figure 2b – Close-up of Figure 1a showing results from September 1<sup>st</sup> on.




Table 2 - Level of SARS-CoV-2 contamination of each analyzed wastewater treatment plant in Luxembourg. BEG: Beggen, BET: Bettembourg, SCH: Schifflange, BLE: Blesbruck, MER: Mersch, PET: Pétange, HES: Hespèrange, ECG: Echternach, UEB: Uebersyren, GRE: Grevenmacher, TRO: Troisvierges, BOE: Boevange sur Attert, WIL: Wiltz



Dark green: negative samples for SARS-CoV-2 gene E (-), Green to red: positive samples for SARS-CoV-2 gene E. The intensity of the color is related to the RT-qPCR signal (Ct values) Grey boxes: no data

		2020																				
		2 <sup>nd</sup> wave																				
WWTP		Week 26	Week 27	Week 28	Week 29	Week 30	Week 31	Week 32	Week 33	Week 34	Week 35	Week 36	Week 37	Week 38	Week 39	Week 40	Week 41	Week 42	Week 43	Week 44/1	Week 44/2	
BEG		Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Light Green	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Red	Red	Red
BET		Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Grey	Orange	Red	Red	Red
SCH		Light Green	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Red	Red	Red
BLE		Orange	Orange	Light Green	Grey	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange
MER		Orange	Orange	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Light Green	Orange	Red	Red	Red
PET		Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Light Green	Orange	Red	Red	Red	Red
HES		Dark Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Red	Red	Grey	Red
ECH		Grey	Grey	Light Green	Orange	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Orange	Orange	Grey	Orange
UEB		Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Orange	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Orange	Orange	Grey	Orange
GRE		Light Green	Grey	Light Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Orange	Orange	Grey	Red
TRO		Grey	Grey	Dark Green	Grey	Orange	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Grey	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Orange	Orange	Grey	Orange
BOE		Grey	Grey	Grey	Grey	Grey	Grey	Grey	Grey	Light Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Light Green	Orange	Orange	Orange	Grey
WIL		Grey	Grey	Grey	Grey	Grey	Grey	Grey	Grey	Light Green	Dark Green	Grey	Dark Green	Light Green	Light Green	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Grey	Orange

Figure 3a – RT-qPCR quantification time-course monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 (E gene) in the three most impacted wastewater treatment plants from March to October 2020. Grey squares: daily-confirmed cases for the contributory area of each wastewater treatment plant (only available until week 42 included), dots: SARS-CoV-2 flux (RNA copies / day / 10 000 equivalent inhabitants).




Figure 4b – Close-up of Figure 2a showing results from September 1<sup>st</sup> on.




Figure 5a – RT-qPCR quantification time-course monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 (E gene) in Hespérange, Mersch and Boevange-sur-Attert wastewater treatment plants from March to October 2020. Grey squares: daily-confirmed cases for the contributory area of each wastewater treatment plant (only available until week 42 included), dots: SARS-CoV-2 flux (RNA copies / day / 10 000 equivalent inhabitants).




Figure 3b – Close-up of Figure 3a showing results from September 1<sup>st</sup> on.




Figure 6a – RT-qPCR quantification time-course monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 (E gene) in SIDEST wastewater treatment plants from March to October 2020. Grey squares: daily-confirmed cases for the contributory area of each wastewater treatment plant (only available until week 42 included), dots: SARS-CoV-2 flux (RNA copies / day / 10 000 equivalent inhabitants).






Figure 4b – Close-up of Figure 4a showing results from September 1st on.




Figure 7a – RT-qPCR quantification time-course monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 (E gene) in SIDEN wastewater treatment plants from March to October 2020. Grey squares: daily-confirmed cases for the contributory area of each wastewater treatment plant (only available until week 42 included), dots: SARS-CoV-2 flux (RNA copies / day / 10 000 equivalent inhabitants).





Figure 5b – Close-up of Figure 5a showing results from September 1st on.





## Materials and Methods

### Sewage samples

From March to October 2020, up to thirteen WWTPs were sampled at the inlet of the plant according to the planning presented in Table 2. The operators of the WWTPs sampled a 24-h composite sample of 96 samples according to your own sampling procedure. Composite sample was stored at 4°C until sample processing.

### Sample processing

The samples were transported to the laboratory at 4°C and viral RNA was isolated on the day of sampling. Larger particles (debris, bacteria) were removed from the samples by pelleting using centrifugation at 2,400 x g for 20 min at 4°C. A volume of 120 mL of supernatant was filtered through Amicon® Plus-15 centrifugal ultrafilter with a cut-off of 10 kDa (Millipore) by centrifugation at 3,220 x g for 25 min at 4°C. The resulting concentrate was collected and 140 µL of each concentrate was then processed to extract viral RNA using the QIAamp Viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Elution of RNA was done in 60 µL of elution buffer.

### Real-time One-Step RT-PCR

Samples are screened for the presence of *Sarbecovirus* (*Coronaviridae*, *Betacoronaviruses*) and/or SARS-CoV-2 virus RNA by two distinct real-time one-step RT-PCR, one on the E gene (Envelope small membrane protein) and the second on the N gene (nucleoprotein). The E gene real-time RT-PCR can detect *Sarbecoviruses*, i.e. SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 and closely related bat viruses. In the context of the COVID19 pandemic, it can be assumed that only SARS-CoV-2 strains will be detected by this assay given that SARS-CoV virus has been eradicated and other bat viruses do not commonly circulate in the human population. The E gene assay is adapted from Corman et al. [17]. The N gene real-time RT-PCR assay (N1 assay) specifically detects SARS-CoV-2 virus. It is adapted from the CDC protocol<sup>1</sup>. The two primers/probe sets are presented in Table 3. The RT-qPCR protocols and reagents were all provided by the LIH.

Table 4 – RT-qPCR primer-probe sets

Target	Primer name	Primer sequence (5' to 3')	References
E gene	E_Sarbeco_F1	5-ACAGGTACGTTAATAGTTAATAGCGT-3	Corman et al., 2020
	E_Sarbeco_R2	5-ATATTGCAGCAGTACGCACACA-3	
	E_Sarbeco_P1	5'-FAM-ACACTAGCCATCCTTACTGCGCTTCG-BHQ1	
N gene	2019-nCoV_N1_Fw	5'-GAC CCC AAA ATC AGC GAA AT-3'	CDC
	2019-nCoV_N1_Rv	5'-TCT GGT TAC TGC CAG TTG AAT CTG-3'	
	2019-nCoV_N1 Probe	5'-FAM-ACC CCG CAT TAC GTT TGG TGG ACC-BHQ1-3'	

Each reaction contained 5 µL of RNA template, 5 µL of TaqPath 1-step RT-qPCR MasterMix (A15299, Life Technologies), 0.5 µL of each primer (20 µM) and probe (5 µM) and the reaction volume was adjusted to a final volume of 20 µL with molecular biology grade water. Thermal cycling reactions were carried out at 50 °C for 15 min, followed by 95 °C for 2 min and 45 cycles of 95 °C for 3 sec and 58°C (E gene) or 53°C (N gene) for 30 sec using a Viia7 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Life Technologies). Reactions were considered positive (limit of detection – LOD) if the cycle threshold (Ct value) was below 40 cycles.

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/rt-pcr-panel-primer-probes.pdf>

## Controls

A non-target RNA fragment commercially available (VetMAX™ Xeno™ IPC and VetMAX™ Xeno™ IPC Assay, ThermoFischer Scientific) was added to the viral RNA extract from sewage concentrates as an internal positive control (IPC). This IPC-RNA is used to control the performance of the RT-qPCR (E gene) and to detect the presence of RT-qPCR inhibitors.

Viral RNA copies quantification of both targeting genes in wastewater samples was performed using RT-qPCR standard curves generated using EDX SARS-CoV-2 Standard (Biorad). This standard is manufactured with synthetic RNA transcripts containing 5 targets (E, N, S, ORF1a, and RdRP genes of SARS-CoV-2, 200,000 copies/mL each). Using such a standard, the limits of quantification (LOQ) of both RT-qPCR assays were estimated to 1 RNA copy per reaction (Figure 6).




Figure 8 – RT-qPCR standard curves established for both targeting genes (E gene and N gene) of SARS-CoV-2 using a commercially available standard (Biorad).

## Data interpretation

A sample is declared positive for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 if both targets (E and N gene) are detected with Ct values less than or equal to the LOQ. If only one target is detected or if target genes are detected with Ct values between the LOD and the LOQ, samples are reported as presumptive positive (+/-). A sample is declared negative when no target genes are detected (Ct values superior to the LOD).

In case of presumptive positive, sample is tested again using another RT-qPCR detection assay (Allplex 2019-nCoV Assay, Seegene). This commercially available detection kit is a multiplex real-time RT-PCR assay for simultaneous detection of three target genes of SARS-CoV-2 in a single tube. The assay is designed to detect RdRP and N genes specific for SARS-CoV-2, and E gene specific for all *Sarbecovirus* including SARS-CoV-2.

As shown in Figure 7, a good linear relationship ( $R^2$ : 0.92) was obtained between the SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations estimated using the E gene and the N gene, respectively. Therefore, only the E gene results were presented in this report.




Figure 9 - Relationship between the SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration (RNA copies / L of wastewater) estimated by the both distinct RT-qPCR systems targeting the E and N gene, respectively